

- [NCBI](#)
- [Skip to main content](#)
- [Skip to navigation](#)
- [Resources](#)
- [How To](#)
- [About NCBI Accesskeys](#)

[Sign in to NCBI](#)

## PMC

[US National Library of Medicine](#)  
[National Institutes of Health](#)

Search database

Search term

- [Advanced](#)
- [Journal list](#)
- [Help](#)
  
- [Journal List](#)
- [HHS Author Manuscripts](#)
- PMC2806496

	<b>HHS Public Access</b> Author manuscript Peer-reviewed and accepted for publication
<a href="#">About author manuscripts</a>	<a href="#">Submit a manuscript</a>

[Mol Cancer Ther.](#) Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 Jan 6.

Published in final edited form as:

[Mol Cancer Ther. 2010 Jan; 9\(1\): 180–189.](#)

Published online 2010 Jan 6. doi: [10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0407](#)

PMCID: PMC2806496

NIHMSID: NIHMS162015

# Cannabidiol enhances the inhibitory effects of $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol on human glioblastoma cell proliferation and survival

[Jahan P. Marcu](#),<sup>1,\*</sup> [Rigel T. Christian](#),<sup>1,\*</sup> [Darryl Lau](#),<sup>1</sup> [Anne J. Zielinski](#),<sup>1</sup> [Maxx P. Horowitz](#),<sup>1</sup> [Jasmine Lee](#),<sup>1</sup> [Arash Pakdel](#),<sup>1</sup> [Juanita Allison](#),<sup>1</sup> [Chandani Limbad](#),<sup>1</sup> [Dan H. Moore](#),<sup>1,2</sup> [Garret L. Yount](#),<sup>1</sup> [Pierre-Yves Desprez](#),<sup>1</sup> and [Sean D. McAllister](#)<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute, 475 Brannan Street, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94107

<sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco, Box 1793, 1600 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94143

<sup>3</sup>Corresponding author: Phone: (415) 600-5926; Fax: (415) 600-5970; [gro.ircmpc@sillacm](mailto:gro.ircmpc@sillacm)

\*These authors contributed equally

Reprint requests should be sent to Sean McAllister, California Pacific Medical Center Research Institute, 475 Brannan Street, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94107; [gro.ircmpc@sillacm](mailto:gro.ircmpc@sillacm)

[Author information](#) ▼ [Copyright and License information](#) ►

The publisher's final edited version of this article is available free at [Mol Cancer Ther](#)

See other articles in PMC that [cite](#) the published article.

[Go to:](#)

## Abstract

The cannabinoid 1 (CB<sub>1</sub>) and cannabinoid 2 (CB<sub>2</sub>) receptor agonist,  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), has been shown to be a broad range inhibitor of cancer in culture and *in vivo*, and is currently being used in a clinical trial for the treatment of glioblastoma. It has been suggested that other plant-derived cannabinoids, which do not interact efficiently with CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, can modulate the actions of  $\Delta^9$ -THC. However, there are conflicting reports as to what extent other cannabinoids can modulate  $\Delta^9$ -THC activity, and most importantly, it is not clear whether other cannabinoid compounds can either potentiate or inhibit the actions of  $\Delta^9$ -THC. We therefore tested cannabidiol (CBD), the second most abundant plant derived cannabinoid, in combination with  $\Delta^9$ -THC. In U251 and SF126 glioblastoma cell lines,  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD acted synergistically to inhibit cell proliferation. The treatment of glioblastoma cells with both compounds led to significant modulations of the cell cycle and induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and apoptosis as well as specific modulations of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and caspase activities. These specific changes were not observed with either compound individually, indicating that the signal transduction pathways affected by the combination treatment were unique. Our results suggest that the addition of CBD to  $\Delta^9$ -THC may improve the overall effectiveness of  $\Delta^9$ -THC in the treatment of glioblastoma in cancer patients.

**Keywords:** cannabinoid, synergism, proliferation, invasion, apoptosis

[Go to:](#)

## Introduction

$\Delta^9$ -THC and other cannabinoids can act as direct anticancer agents in multiple types of cancer in culture and *in vivo* (1). Specifically, activation by  $\Delta^9$ -THC of the two cloned cannabinoid receptors, CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub>, can lead to the inhibition of cell proliferation, invasion and induction of apoptosis in cancer cell lines resulting in the reduction of tumor burden *in vivo* (2–4). The promising preclinical therapeutic potential of  $\Delta^9$ -THC, as an inhibitor of glioblastoma, has prompted a human clinical trial (5).

The CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors are members of the G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) superfamily, and can interact with five structurally distinct classes of compounds. These include the plant-derived classical cannabinoids, such as  $\Delta^9$ -THC; the non-classical bicyclic cannabinoids, such as CP55,940; the endogenous cannabinoids, such as anandamide (AEA); the aminoalkylindoles (AAI), such as WIN55,212-2; and the antagonist/inverse agonists, such as SR141716A (6). Interaction sites, independent of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, also appear to be responsible for the anticancer activity of cannabinoids (7–10). There are more than 60 cannabinoids in *Cannabis sativa*. In addition to  $\Delta^9$ -THC, cannabidiol (CBD), cannabinol (CBN), and cannabigerol (CBG) are also present in the plant (11). CBN has low affinity for CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, whereas the non-psychoactive cannabinoids, CBD and CBG, have negligible affinity for the cloned receptors (12–14). While CBN and CBG have not been tested for their ability to inhibit human brain cancer, CBD has been reported to inhibit the growth of a human glioblastoma in a xenograft model (7, 15, 16).

There are conflicting reports as to what extent other cannabinoids can modulate the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC, and it has been suggested that non-psychoactive cannabinoids can either potentiate or inhibit the actions of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (17–20). Cooperative effects have also been observed with endogenous cannabinoids (21). The potential benefits of using a cannabinoid-based medicine comprised of multiple cannabinoids has been a driving force in recent human clinical trials (20, 22, 23). Investigations have shown that non-psychoactive cannabinoids can alter the physiological response to  $\Delta^9$ -THC, potentially by altering its metabolism (17–19, 24, 25). However, no investigation to date has provided molecular mechanisms explaining how cannabinoids, acting through distinct pathways, could converge onto a shared pathway resulting in a modulation of activity unique to the combination.

In this study, we sought to determine whether the plant-derived cannabinoid, CBD, would modulate the ability of  $\Delta^9$ -THC to inhibit glioblastoma cell proliferation and survival. We found that CBD enhanced the ability of  $\Delta^9$ -THC to inhibit glioblastoma cell growth and induce apoptosis. The molecular mechanisms associated with these specific effects are presented.

[Go to:](#)

## Material and Methods

### Cell culture and treatments

The human glioblastoma cell lines used were SF126, U251 and U87. Cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. In all experiments, the different cell populations were first cultured in RPMI media containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Glioblastoma cells were then seeded into 96-well plates in 10% FBS and on the first day of treatment the media was replaced with vehicle control or drug in RPMI and 0.1% FBS as previously reported (8). The media with the appropriate compounds were replaced every 24 h.  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD were obtained from NIH through the National Institute of Drug Abuse.

### MTT assay

Assays were performed as previously described (26). % control was calculated as the MTT absorbance of the treated cells/control cells × 100.

## Apoptosis analysis

Cells were grown in 6-well culture dishes and treated with the appropriate compounds every 24 h for 3 days. Cells attached to the plate as well as the cells in media were collected, pelleted, washed once with PBS, and processed for labeling with FITC-tagged annexin and propidium iodide (PI) by use of an Apo-Direct apoptosis kit obtained from Phoenix Flow Systems (San Diego, CA). Briefly, the cell pellet was resuspended in 300  $\mu$ l of the supplied reaction buffer along with 3  $\mu$ l of both PI and FITC-tagged annexin. After a 15-min incubation period at room temperature, the labeled cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using a FITC detector (FL1) and a PI emission signal detector (FL2). Cell flow cytometry in combination with PI and annexin staining was used to quantify the percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis in control and treatment groups. % control was calculated as annexin positive staining in treated cells/control cells  $\times$  100. PI staining was used to distinguish necrotic cells from those undergoing apoptosis.

## Cell cycle analysis

U251 cells were grown in Petri dishes (100 mm  $\times$  15 mm) and received drug treatments for 2 days. On the third day, the cells were harvested and centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min. The pellet was washed 1X with PBS + 1% BSA, and centrifuged again. The pellet was resuspended in 0.5 ml of 2% paraformaldehyde and fixed overnight at room temperature. The next day the cells were pelleted and resuspended in 0.5 ml 0.3% Triton in PBS and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The cells were then washed 2 times with PBS + 1% BSA. The cells were finally suspended in PBS (0.1% BSA) with 10  $\mu$ g/ml Propidium Iodide and 100  $\mu$ g/ml RNase. The cells were incubated for 30 min at room temperature before being stored at 4°C. Cell cycle was measured using a FACS Calibur using Cell Quest Pro and Modfit software.

## Boyden chamber invasion assay

Assays were performed in modified Boyden Chambers (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) as previously described (26). Data were presented as relative invasiveness of the cells through the Matrigel, where the respective controls are set as 100 %.

## Reactive oxygen species (ROS) measurements

The production of cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS)/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was measured using 2'-7'-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH-DA, Sigma Aldrich). DCFH-DA is deacylated intracellularly into a non-fluorescent product, which reacts with intracellular ROS to produce 2'-7'-Dichlorofluorescein, which remains trapped inside the cell, and can be measured quantitatively. Cells were plated onto 6-well dishes and received drug treatments for three days. On the third day, 10 mM DCFH-DA was added to the media (MEM with 0.1% FBS) and the cells were incubated with DCFH-DA overnight. The next day, the cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS, and the fluorescent intensity was measured using a FACS and cell quest pro software.

## Western analysis

Western analysis was performed as previously described (26). Anti-phospho-JNK, anti-phospho-p38, anti-phospho-ERK1/2 and anti-ERK1/2 were obtained from Millipore. Anti cleaved caspase 3, 7, 9 and PARP were obtained from Cell Signaling, Boston, MA. Antibodies were added according to the manufactures protocol.

## Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total cellular RNA was isolated from glioblastoma cancer cells treated with vehicle control or with CBD. Transcripts for p8 and for  $\beta$ -actin were reverse transcribed using SuperscriptII Reverse TranscriptaseII (Gibco-BRL), and polymerase chain reaction performed. The 5' and 3' PCR primers were GAAGAGAGGCAGGGAAGACA and CTGCCGTGCGTGCTATTTA for p8; and GCGGGAAATCGTGCGTGACATT and GATGGAGTTGAAGGTAGTTTCGTG for  $\beta$ -actin. PCR was performed in buffer containing 1  $\mu$ M of each of the 5' and 3' PCR primer and 0.5 U of Taq polymerase using 18 cycles for amplification of p8 and  $\beta$ -actin cDNAs. The cycle conditions were 45 sec denaturation at 94°C, 45 sec annealing at 55°C, and 1 min extension at 72°C.

## Pharmacological and statistical analyses

In the proliferation assays, IC<sub>50</sub> values with corresponding 95% confidence limits were calculated using non-linear analysis of logged data (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, CA). When just the confidence limits of the IC<sub>50</sub> values overlapped significant differences were determined using unpaired Student's t-test. Significant differences were also determined using one-way ANOVA where suitable. Bonferroni's multiple comparison post-hoc analyses were conducted when appropriate. P values <0.05 defined statistical significance. Positive and negative aspects of constituent interaction were determined in a 2  $\times$  2 design using two-way ANOVA as described by (27). IC<sub>20</sub> and IC<sub>80</sub> values were calculated using the equation  $IC_F = (F/100-F)^{1/H} \cdot IC_{50}$ , where F is the fractional response expressed as a percentage, IC<sub>F</sub> is the quantity of drug needed to inhibit an F percentage response and H is the hillslope. Treatment groups were divided into 1) no treatment (control), 2)  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone, 3) CBD alone, and 4)  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD combined. Data was analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with an interaction term that was used to test for whether the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD differed from the additive effects of each alone (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, CA).

To further test for synergism, the combination index (CI) was calculated where CI < 1, = 1, and >1 indicates synergism, additive effect, and antagonism, respectively (28, 29). Based on the classic isobologram for mutually exclusive effects relative to the end point of measurement, the CI value for x% inhibition is calculated as:  $CI = (D)_1/(Dx)_1 + (D)_2/(Dx)_2$  (D)<sub>1</sub> THC; (D)<sub>2</sub> represents CBD; (Dx)<sub>1</sub> and (Dx)<sub>2</sub> are the doses for x% growth that can be obtained using the IC<sub>F</sub> equation described above. (D)<sub>1</sub> and (D)<sub>2</sub> are the concentrations in the combination that also inhibit cell growth by x% (29).

A cell cycle analysis program was used to estimate the proportions of cells in each of three compartments:  $G_0/G_1$ , S, and  $G_2/G_M$ . The experiments were conducted on four different dates and there were two replicates for each date. It was noticed that in the control (vehicle) experiments the percentage of cells in each compartment varied significantly from day to day, therefore each treatment compartment percentage estimate was standardized by dividing it by the average percentage for the vehicle on that date. This procedure was carried out for data from each experiment on each day. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) on the vector of cell cycle compartment standardized ratios ( $G_0/G_1$ , S and  $G_2/G_M$ ) was performed to control the multiple comparison type 1 (false positive) error rate. Since this produced a significant result (at  $p < 0.05$ ), it was concluded that there were differences due to treatments. Standardized ratios for each compartment were then tested separately using univariate one-way ANOVA with treatment as the explanatory factor. These tests were also significant at  $p < 0.05$  for each compartment except  $G_2/G_M$ . It was concluded that there was evidence for a treatment effect in each of the three cell cycle compartments  $G_0/G_1$ , S and  $G_2/G_M$ . We then tested the ratios for each treatment within a compartment to determine if they significantly differed from 1.0, indicating a treatment effect for that particular treatment. Finally, we tested for a significant interaction of CBD and  $\Delta^9$ -THC, each at their lowest dose by ANOVA with an interaction term. This was carried out after transforming the standardized ratios to logarithms so a test for additive interactions could be performed.

[Go to:](#)

## Results

### $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD inhibit the growth of multiple glioblastoma cell lines

The  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  receptor agonist,  $\Delta^9$ -THC, can inhibit glioblastoma cell proliferation in culture and *in vivo* and is currently being used in a clinical trial (4). CBD, a cannabinoid constituent with negligible affinity for  $CB_1$  and  $CB_2$  receptors, can also inhibit the proliferation of glioblastoma in culture and *in vivo* (7, 16). SF126, U251 and U87 cells were treated for three days with a range of concentrations of either  $\Delta^9$ -THC or CBD. The antiproliferative activity of the compounds was assessed using the MTT assay and the corresponding  $IC_{50}$  values were calculated as previously described (26). The  $IC_{50}$  values for  $\Delta^9$ -THC in SF126, U251 and U87 cells were 2.5  $\mu M$  (1.8–3.4), 3.3  $\mu M$  (2.4–4.6) and 3.3  $\mu M$  (2.3–4.8), respectively. The  $IC_{50}$  values for CBD in SF126, U251 and U87 cells were 1.2  $\mu M$  (1.1–1.3), 0.6  $\mu M$  (0.5–1.0), 0.6  $\mu M$  (0.5–0.7), respectively. CBD was therefore a more potent inhibitor of cell growth than  $\Delta^9$ -THC in the three cell lines studied.

### CBD enhances the inhibitory effects of $\Delta^9$ -THC on glioblastoma cell growth

It has been suggested that non-psychoactive cannabinoid constituents can either potentiate or inhibit the actions of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (11, 17–19). Therefore, the glioblastoma cell lines that were originally used to test the antiproliferative activity of individual cannabinoids were used to determine the effects of combination treatments. The positive and negative aspects of constituent interaction were tested by analyzing the activity of different combinations of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD in a  $2 \times 2$  design (Figure 1). The concentrations used for the treatments were  $IC_{80}$  or  $IC_{20}$  values calculated from the  $IC_{50}$  values as described in the methods. When applied in combination at the predicted  $IC_{80}$  concentration,  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD produced a greater than additive inhibition of cell growth in SF126 and U251 cells. This was not observed in U87 cells (data not shown). In SF126 cells, cell viability in presence of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (3.9  $\mu M$ ) was  $26 \pm 9\%$ , CBD (1.4  $\mu M$ ) was  $40 \pm 4\%$ , and  $\Delta^9$ -THC (3.9  $\mu M$ ) + CBD (1.4  $\mu M$ ) was  $8 \pm 4\%$  (Figure 1A). In U251 cells, cell viability in presence of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (5.4  $\mu M$ ) was  $55 \pm 3\%$ , CBD (0.9  $\mu M$ ) was  $69 \pm 4\%$  and  $\Delta^9$ -THC (5.4  $\mu M$ ) + CBD (0.9  $\mu M$ ) was  $2 \pm 2\%$  (Figure 1B). Predicted  $IC_{20}$  concentrations of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD that alone produce only minimal effects on cell growth were combined and further tested in a  $2 \times 2$  factorial design in the positive responding cell lines (SF126 and U251). Again, greater than additive effects were observed in SF126 and U251 cells. In SF126 cells, cell viability in presence of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (1.6  $\mu M$ ) was  $90 \pm 4\%$ , CBD (1.1  $\mu M$ ) was  $63 \pm 4\%$ , and  $\Delta^9$ -THC (1.6  $\mu M$ ) + CBD (1.1  $\mu M$ ) =  $25 \pm 6\%$  (Figure 1C). In U251 cells, cell viability in presence of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (1.7  $\mu M$ ) was  $71 \pm 4\%$ , CBD (0.4  $\mu M$ ) was  $83 \pm 5\%$  and  $\Delta^9$ -THC (1.7  $\mu M$ ) + CBD (0.4  $\mu M$ ) was  $7 \pm 4\%$  (Figure 1D). In the cell lines demonstrating significant interactions (SF126 and U251 cells), we further tested for synergism using the combination index (CI) described in the methods. As shown in Table 1 supplementary, in both U251 and SF126 cells a synergistic increase in the antiproliferative activity of the cannabinoids was observed. A CI value of  $< 1$ , 1, and  $> 1$  indicates synergism, additivity, and antagonism, respectively (29). Synergistic activity was observed at all the concentration ranges tested in U251 cells, therefore, this cell line was used primarily in the remainder of the experiments.




Figure 1

### CBD enhances the inhibitory effects of $\Delta^9$ -THC on glioblastoma cell growth

### CBD does not enhance the inhibitory effects of $\Delta^9$ -THC on glioblastoma cell invasiveness

## CBD does not enhance the inhibitory effects of $\Delta^9$ -THC on glioblastoma cell invasiveness

In addition to uncontrolled cell growth, a hallmark of the aggressive phenotype of glioblastoma cells is their ability to migrate away from the primary tumor of origin and invade into neighboring CNS tissue (30). We, therefore, sought to determine whether the addition of CBD to  $\Delta^9$ -THC would improve the activity of the compound to inhibit migration and invasion through a reconstituted basement membrane in a Boyden chamber assay (Figure 2). Both  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD could significantly inhibit the invasiveness of U251 cells. The predicted  $IC_{50}$  values for  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD to inhibit U251 cell invasiveness were 85 nM (49–150) and 126 nM (20–796), respectively. Concentrations of 100 nM  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD (their approximate  $EC_{50}$  values) were used to test for positive or negative interactions. These concentrations were chosen as compared to the predicted  $EC_{80}$  values to ensure significant increases in cell death would not be produced which could confound the results of the invasion assay, i.e., dead cells will not migrate and invade. Whereas both  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD were able to inhibit U251 cell invasiveness, CBD did not enhance the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC when the compounds were combined. In U251 cells, invasiveness in presence of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (0.1  $\mu$ M) was  $48 \pm 3\%$ , CBD (0.1  $\mu$ M) was  $72 \pm 3\%$  and  $\Delta^9$ -THC (0.1  $\mu$ M) + CBD (0.1  $\mu$ M) was  $36 \pm 3\%$ . Since  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD acted synergistically to inhibit glioblastoma cell growth, but not to inhibit cell invasiveness, mechanistic experiments were focused on understanding the reduction in cell viability produced by the combination treatment. The 4:1 ratio of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (1.7  $\mu$ M) and CBD (0.4  $\mu$ M) (as described above in Figure 1D) was primarily used as the combination treatment for the remainder of the experiments.




Figure 2

**$\Delta^9$ -THC in combination with CBD does not produce a greater overall inhibition of glioma invasiveness**

## The combination treatment of $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD leads to the modulation of specific mitogen activated kinases (MAPK)

The regulation of ERK, JNK, and p38 MAPK activity plays a critical role in controlling cell growth and apoptosis (31). Modulation of these pathways has been indicated in cannabinoid control of cancer cell growth and survival (9, 32–34). We used U251 cells to determine whether modulation of ERK, JNK, and p38 MAPK activity occurred. Treatment with the combination of cannabinoids led to a substantial down-regulation of pERK, but produced no significant change in total ERK (Figure 3A). Additionally, no inhibition of p38 MAPK or JNK activity was observed. When U251 cells were treated with individual concentration of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD, instead of the combination, no changes in pERK were observed (Figure 3B). These data demonstrate the modulation of pERK was specific for the combination treatment. Down-regulation of pERK in the presence of the combination treatment was first observed after two days treatment in U251 cells (Figure 3C). The down-regulation of pERK was also observed in SF126 cells using a combination treatment of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD (Figure 3D). These data demonstrate the modulation of pERK by the combination treatment of THC and CBD appears to represent a common mechanism shared by different glioblastoma cell lines.




Figure 3

**The combination treatment of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD specifically inhibits ERK activity**

## The combination treatment of $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD inhibits cell cycle and induces apoptosis

Significant reductions in ERK activity have been shown to lead to growth arrest and induction of apoptosis (31). The large reduction in glioblastoma cell viability and ERK activity, observed in the presence of the combination treatment, led us to hypothesize there would be a corresponding modulation of the cell cycle and programmed cell death. Therefore, U251 cells were treated with  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD alone or with the combination of the two drugs, and cell cycle was analyzed using cell flow cytometry (Table 1). When administered separately,  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD both produced increases in the population of cells in  $G_0/G_1$  phase, but not in the S and  $G_2/G_M$  phase. The combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD produced a greater than additive increase in the population of cells in  $G_0/G_1$  phase,  $G_2/G_M$  phase and a decrease in cells in S phase.


**Cannabinoid modulation of cell cycle**

Cell cycle was measured using PI staining and FACS analysis, and ModFit was used to determine the percentage of cell in G<sub>0</sub>/G<sub>1</sub>, S and G<sub>2</sub>/M phase. U251 cells were treated for three days with CBD (0.4 μM), Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC (1.7 μM), or a combination of CBD (0.4 μM) and Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC (1.7 μM). The percentage of cells in each compartment was standardized by dividing it by the average percentage for the vehicle. This procedure was carried out for data from each experiment on each day. Statistical analysis was performed as described in the Material and Methods.

Treatment	mean(G <sub>0</sub> /G <sub>1</sub> )	mean(S)	mean(G <sub>2</sub> /M)
CBD 0.4	1.08 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.05	0.97 ± 0.28
Δ <sup>9</sup> -THC 1.7	1.12 ± 0.04	0.79 ± 0.11	1.28 ± 0.37
Δ <sup>9</sup> -THC 1.7 + CBD 0.4	1.23 ± 0.02	0.49 ± 0.08	2.69 ± 0.56

**Table 1**  
**Cannabinoid modulation of cell cycle**

In addition to producing cell cycle arrest, the combination treatment may reduce cell viability through induction of apoptosis. We, therefore, measured apoptosis using annexin staining in combination with cell flow cytometry (Figure 4A). There was minor increase in apoptosis produced with 1.7 μM Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC, but it was not found to be significantly different from control (n=7). No increase in apoptosis was observed in the presence of 0.4 μM CBD. However, when Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD were combined, a greater than additive increase in apoptosis was observed. In a time course analysis studying the induction of apoptosis produced by the combination treatment, we observed only a small increase with the combination treatment after 2 days (Figure 1A and B supplementary), whereas a strong induction of apoptosis was observed by day 3 (Figure 4A).



**Figure 4**

**The effects of the combination treatment are the result of CB<sub>2</sub> activation**

### The inhibitory effects of the combination treatment are the result of CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation and production of ROS

Depending on the glioblastoma cell line used, studies have linked the inhibitory activity of plant derived cannabinoids to activation of CB<sub>1</sub> and/or CB<sub>2</sub>, modulation of MAPKs and induction of cellular stress through increases in ROS and additional stress related proteins, leading to activation of caspases (15, 32, 35). We used the measure of apoptosis to investigate mechanisms by which CBD enhanced the activity of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC.

Apoptosis produced by the combination of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD was partially blocked by the CB<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist, SR144528 (SR2), but almost complete reversal was observed in the presence of the anti-oxidant, α-tocopherol (TCP) (Figure 4B). The cannabinoid receptor antagonists and TCP had no effect on apoptosis on their own at 0.5 μM and 20 μM, respectively (data not shown). As predicted by α-tocopherol blockade, the combination of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD produced a significant increase in the formation of ROS as assessed by DCDHF-DA oxidation using FACS analysis (Figure 1C and D supplementary). A small increase in ROS was observed after 1 day of treatment with a major induction observed by day 2, preceding the majority of the observed apoptotic cell death.

In order to attempt to match levels of apoptosis produced by the combination treatment, the concentrations of the individual cannabinoids (Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD) were next increased. The purpose of these experiments was to determine whether the compounds alone recruited similar pathways as compared to the combination of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD. When U251 cells were treated with Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC alone, the induction of apoptosis was almost completely blocked by α-tocopherol (TCP) and partially blocked by the CB<sub>2</sub> antagonist SR144528 (SR2) (Figure 4C). However, Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC alone could not produce the level of apoptosis observed with the combination treatment (Figure 4B and C). This finding was not simply an issue of the treatment concentration used since application of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC up to 5 μM did not produce a greater induction of apoptosis (data not shown). When U251 cells were treated with CBD alone, the induction of apoptosis was blocked by α-tocopherol (TCP) but no reversal was observed with SR144528 (SR2) (Figure 4D). This result was expected since CBD does not interact efficiently with either CB<sub>1</sub> or CB<sub>2</sub> receptors.

The ability of the higher concentrations of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC (2.5 μM) and CBD (2.0 μM) to inhibit pERK were also studied and compared to the combination treatment (Figure 2 supplementary). Again, the combination treatment produced a substantial down regulation of pERK. However, the higher concentration of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC alone had no effect on pERK. The higher concentration of CBD produced only a small inhibition of pERK. Taken together, this data suggests that a unique pathway was activated by the combined administration of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and CBD, which led to the down-regulation of pERK.

### Cannabinoid mediates apoptosis through p8 and caspases

The induction of the stress associated gene, p8, has been shown to be a specific event in THC induced apoptosis, but its involvement

The induction of the stress associated gene, p8, has been shown to be a specific event in THC-induced apoptosis, but its involvement in CBD induced apoptosis has not been determined (35, 36). This pathway was evaluated to determine the role p8 played in the observed increase in apoptosis during cannabinoid treatments (Figure 5A and B). Treatment of U251 cells with CBD lead to a small reduction in p8 expression compared to control, however, this change was not statistically significant (n=4). Treatment with  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone or the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD led to an up-regulation of p8 expression. The magnitude of the effect was similar between treatment groups. This data demonstrates the modulation of p8 was not specific for the combination treatment.




Figure 5

### When combined, $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD produce an increase in activation of p8 and multiple caspases

Multiple caspase pathways were next evaluated to determine additional mechanisms by which the combination treatment increased apoptosis (Figure 5C). In the presence of CBD alone, no significant changes in caspase activity were observed. Small increases in the activity of caspase 7, caspase 9 and PARP, but not caspase 3, were observed when U251 cells were treated with the  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone. Treatment with the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD led to a substantial up-regulation of caspase 3, 7, and 9 activities as well as an increase in PARP expression. These data demonstrate that a unique modulation of caspase activity is produced when glioblastoma cells are treated with the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD as opposed to the individual cannabinoids.

[Go to:](#)

## Discussion

We observed that plant derived cannabinoids inhibit the proliferation of human glioblastoma cell lines. Compared to  $\Delta^9$ -THC, CBD was significantly more potent than  $\Delta^9$ -THC at inhibiting cancer cell growth. This finding is in agreement with studies using models of aggressive breast cancers (26, 37).  $\Delta^9$ -THC is currently being used in a clinical trial for treatment of recurrent glioblastoma (5). Past studies have suggested that non-psychoactive cannabinoids can modulate the actions of  $\Delta^9$ -THC (17–20). We hypothesized that the cannabinoid therapy utilizing  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone could be improved using a strategy of combination treatments.

We discovered that CBD enhanced the ability of  $\Delta^9$ -THC to inhibit cell proliferation and induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. This activity occurred in two of three glioblastoma cell lines tested. Treatment of U251 cells with the combination led to a substantial down-regulation of ERK activity, but not p38 MAPK and JNK1/2. The reduction in ERK activity was specific for the combination treatment and occurred in more than one glioblastoma cell line. Importantly, continuing to increase the concentration of  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone did not result in inhibition of ERK activity. This data indicates that the enhanced effects observed were not solely due to an increase in potency of  $\Delta^9$ -THC in U251 cells upon co-application with CBD. Further support for this conclusion was observed when studying the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD on U251 cell invasiveness. Both compounds were effective at inhibiting the invasiveness of U251 cells, however, there was no evidence that CBD improved the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC upon co-application.

In human glioblastoma cells, the ability of  $\Delta^9$ -THC to inhibit growth and induce apoptosis has been linked to the initial activation of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors (35). Similar effects produced by CBD have been linked in part to CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation, but the initial interaction site for the additional activity of CBD remains to be clarified (7). We observed that increases in apoptosis produced by  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone, or the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD, were partially dependent on CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation. Apoptosis produced by CBD alone was not dependent on CB<sub>2</sub> receptor activation. Importantly, the induction of apoptosis in the presence of the combination treatment was significantly greater than that observed with  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone. Apoptosis produced by the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD was dependent on the production of oxidative stress and resulted in a unique activation of both intrinsic and extrinsic caspases.

Studies have shown that the inhibitory activity of cannabinoids in glioblastoma is dependent on activation of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, modulation of MAPKs and induction of multiple types of cellular stresses leading to apoptosis (32, 35, 38, 39). In the case of  $\Delta^9$ -THC, up-regulation of p8 appears to be a specific event which leads to apoptosis in multiple types of cancers (35, 36). Treatment of U251 cells with the combination of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD led to an up-regulation of p8 expression, but similar activity was seen with  $\Delta^9$ -THC alone. This is in contrast to what we observed when studying modulation of caspase activity, and suggests that the enhanced apoptotic activity produced by the combination treatment was not the results of an interaction with the p8 pathway.

The ability of CBD to inhibit growth and induce apoptosis in glioblastoma and additional cancers has been primarily associated with the up-regulation of ROS and multiple caspases, and has been linked to alterations in NADPH oxidases (9, 15). A link between ROS production and modulation of the LOX pathway has been hypothesized as a potential mechanism of antitumor activity of CBD in glioblastoma (16). In this study, an initial increase in ROS was clearly linked to a latter induction of apoptosis. Individually, both  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD could increase apoptosis through the production of ROS, however,  $\Delta^9$ -THC was significantly less efficient at inducing this process as a single agent as compared to when it was used in combination with CBD. Even though the concentration of CBD used in the combination treatment did not significantly stimulate ROS, it may have primed this pathway for  $\Delta^9$ -THC through a convergence on shared signal transduction pathways. A similar hypothesis could explain the unique down-regulation of

phosphorylated ERK that was produced by the combination treatment. Alternatively, CBD may have potentiated the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC by inhibiting pathways that impart drug resistance in glioblastoma. For instance, a recent study showed that amphiregulin expression was associated with increased ERK activation, which mediated resistance to THC-induced apoptosis in gliomas (40). Therefore, CBD may have potentiated the activity of THC-induced apoptosis by inhibiting amphiregulin regulated increases in ERK activation. Future studies will be needed in order to elucidate the detailed mechanism associated with the unique effects of the  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD combination treatment.

Individually,  $\Delta^9$ -THC and CBD can activate distinct pathways in glioblastoma cells that ultimately culminate in inhibition of cancer cell growth and invasion as well as induction of cell death (2, 4, 41). We hypothesized that, if the individual agents were combined, a convergence on shared pathways may ensue leading to an enhanced ability of the combination treatment to inhibit certain cancer cell phenotypes. We found this to be true in this investigation. Cannabidiol significantly improved the inhibitory effects of  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol on glioblastoma cell proliferation and survival, but not on cell invasiveness. The data suggests that the improved activity observed with the combination treatment is the result of a specific modulation of ERK and ROS activity leading to inhibition of cell cycle and induction of apoptosis.

Combinations, compared to individual drug treatments with specific cannabinoid-based compounds, may represent an improvement for the treatment of patients with glioblastoma and perhaps additional cancers. It is also possible that other constituents of *Cannabis sativa* which are not structurally related to cannabinoids could improve antitumor activity when combined. An important next step will be to perform studies testing for synergistic antitumor activity of cannabinoids in additional preclinical models of glioblastoma. Even if synergism is not evident, combination treatments may allow for increased dosing due to non-overlapping toxicities and decrease development of resistance to the activity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC or CBD when administered alone. With the growing evidence demonstrating cannabinoids are effective inhibitors of multiple types of cancer, it is likely that additional clinical trials will be carried out. Combination treatments with cannabinoids may improve overall efficacy in these future clinical trials.

[Go to:](#)

## Supplementary Material

1

[Click here to view.](#) (1.1M, tif)

2

[Click here to view.](#) (763K, tif)

3

[Click here to view.](#) (25K, doc)

[Go to:](#)

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants CA102412 and DA09978), and the SETH Group.

[Go to:](#)

## Abbreviations

CB	cannabinoid
CBD	cannabidiol
CBG	cannabigerol
CBN	cannabinol
$\Delta^9$ -THC	$\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol
ERK	extracellular signal regulated kinases
ROS	reactive oxygen species

[Go to:](#)

## References

1. Bifulco M, Di Marzo V. Targeting the endocannabinoid system in cancer therapy: a call for further research. *Nat Med.* 2002;8:547–550. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Blazquez C, Carracedo A, Salazar M, et al. Down-regulation of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 in gliomas: a new marker of cannabinoid antitumoral activity? *Neuropharmacology.* 2008;54:235–243. [[PubMed](#)]



3. Preet A, Ganju RK, Groopman JE. Delta(9)-Tetrahydrocannabinol inhibits epithelial growth factor-induced lung cancer cell migration in vitro as well as its growth and metastasis in vivo. *Oncogene*. 2007 [PubMed]
4. Velasco G, Carracedo A, Blazquez C, et al. Cannabinoids and gliomas. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2007;36:60–67. [PubMed]
5. Guzman M, Duarte MJ, Blazquez C, et al. A pilot clinical study of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *Br J Cancer*. 2006;95:197–203. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
6. Pertwee RG. Pharmacology of cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors. *Pharmacological Therapeutics*. 1997;74:129–180. [PubMed]
7. Massi P, Vaccani A, Ceruti S, Colombo A, Abbracchio MP, Parolaro D. Antitumor effects of cannabidiol, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, on human glioma cell lines. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2004;308:838–845. [PubMed]
8. McAllister SD, Chan C, Taft RJ, et al. Cannabinoids selectively inhibit proliferation and induce death of cultured human glioblastoma multiforme cells. *J Neurooncol*. 2005;74:31–40. [PubMed]
9. McCallip RJ, Jia W, Schlomer J, Warren JW, Nagarkatti PS, Nagarkatti M. Cannabidiol-induced apoptosis in human leukemia cells: A novel role of cannabidiol in the regulation of p22phox and Nox4 expression. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2006;70:897–908. [PubMed]
10. Ruiz L, Miguel A, Diaz-Laviada I. Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol induces apoptosis in human prostate PC-3 cells via a receptor-independent mechanism. *FEBS Lett*. 1999;458:400–404. [PubMed]
11. McPartland JM, Russo EB. Cannabis and cannabis extract: greater than the sum of the parts? *J Cannabis Therapeut*. 2001;1:103–132.
12. Howlett AC. Cannabinoid inhibition of adenylate cyclase: relative activity of the constituents and metabolites of marijuana. *Neuropharmacology*. 1987;26:507–512. [PubMed]
13. Devane WA, Dysarz IFA, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, Howlett AC. Determination and characterization of a cannabinoid receptor in rat brain. *Mol Pharmacol*. 1988;34:605–613. [PubMed]
14. Showalter VM, Compton DR, Martin BR, Abood ME. Evaluation of binding in a transfected cell line expressing a peripheral cannabinoid receptor (CB2): Identification of cannabinoid receptor subtype selective ligands. *The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 1996;278:989–999. [PubMed]
15. Massi P, Vaccani A, Bianchessi S, Costa B, Macchi P, Parolaro D. The non-psychoactive cannabidiol triggers caspase activation and oxidative stress in human glioma cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2006;63:2057–2066. [PubMed]
16. Massi P, Valenti M, Vaccani A, et al. 5-Lipoxygenase and anandamide hydrolase (FAAH) mediate the antitumor activity of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive cannabinoid. *J Neurochem*. 2008;104:1091–1100. [PubMed]
17. Jones G, Pertwee RG. A metabolic interaction in vivo between cannabidiol and 1 - tetrahydrocannabinol. *Br J Pharmacol*. 1972;45:375–377. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
18. Krantz JC, Berger HJ, Welch BL. Blockade of (-)-trans-delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol depressant effect by cannabinol in mice. *Amer J Phar*. 1971;143:149–152. [PubMed]
19. Poddar MK, Bhattacharya KC, Ghosh JJ. Potentiating effects of cannabidiol on delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced changes in hepatic enzymes. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 1974;23:758–759. [PubMed]
20. Russo E, Guy GW. A tale of two cannabinoids: the therapeutic rationale for combining tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol. *Med Hypotheses*. 2006;66:234–246. [PubMed]
21. Ben-Shabat S, Fride E, Sheskin T, et al. An entourage effect: inactive endogenous fatty acid glycerol esters enhance 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol cannabinoid activity. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1998;353:23–31. [PubMed]
22. Nurmikko TJ, Serpell MG, Hoggart B, Toomey PJ, Morlion BJ, Haines D. Sativex successfully treats neuropathic pain characterised by allodynia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Pain*. 2007;133:210–220. [PubMed]
23. Rog DJ, Nurmikko TJ, Young CA. Oromucosal delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol/cannabidiol for neuropathic pain associated with multiple sclerosis: an uncontrolled, open-label, 2-year extension trial. *Clin Ther*. 2007;29:2068–2079. [PubMed]
24. Bornheim LM, Grillo MP. Characterization of cytochrome P450 3A inactivation by cannabidiol: possible involvement of cannabidiol-hydroxyquinone as a P450 inactivator. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 1998;11:1209–1216. [PubMed]
25. Bornheim LM, Kim KY, Li J, Perotti BY, Benet LZ. Effect of cannabidiol pretreatment on the kinetics of tetrahydrocannabinol metabolites in mouse brain. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 1995;23:825–831. [PubMed]
26. McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY. Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2007;6:2921–2927. [PubMed]
27. Slinker BK. The statistics of synergism. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 1998;30:723–731. [PubMed]
28. Chou TC. Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computerized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2006;58:621–681. [PubMed]
29. Chou TC, Tan QH, Sirotnak FM. Quantitation of the synergistic interaction of edatrexate and cisplatin in vitro. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 1993;31:259–264. [PubMed]
30. Visted T, Enger PO, Lund-Johansen M, Bjerkvig R. Mechanisms of tumor cell invasion and angiogenesis in the central nervous system. *Front Biosci*. 2003;8:e289–e304. [PubMed]
31. Chang F, Steelman LS, Lee JT, et al. Signal transduction mediated by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway from cytokine receptors to transcription factors: potential targeting for therapeutic intervention. *Leukemia*. 2003;17:1263–1293. [PubMed]
32. Galve-Roperh I, Sanchez C, Cortes ML, del Pulgar TG, Izquierdo M, Guzman M. Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. *Nat Med*. 2000;6:313–319. [PubMed]
33. Gustafsson K, Christensson B, Sander B, Flygare J. Cannabinoid receptor-mediated apoptosis induced by R(+)-methanandamide and Win55,212-2 is associated with ceramide accumulation and p38 activation in mantle cell lymphoma. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2006;70:1612–1620. [PubMed]
34. Melck D, Rueda D, Galve-Roperh I, De Petrocellis L, Guzman M, Di Marzo V. Involvement of the cAMP/protein kinase A pathway and of mitogen-activated protein kinase in the anti-proliferative effects of anandamide in human breast cancer cells. *FEBS Lett*. 1999;463:235–240. [PubMed]
35. Carracedo A, Lorente M, Egia A, et al. The stress-regulated protein p8 mediates cannabinoid-induced apoptosis of tumor cells. *Cancer Cell*. 2006;9:301–312. [PubMed]
36. Carracedo A, Gironella M, Lorente M, et al. Cannabinoids induce apoptosis of pancreatic tumor cells via endoplasmic reticulum stress-related genes. *Cancer Res*. 2006;66:6748–6755. [PubMed]
37. Ligresti A, Moriello AS, Starowicz K, et al. Antitumor activity of plant cannabinoids with emphasis on the effect of cannabidiol on human breast carcinoma. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2006;318:1375–1387. [PubMed]
38. Jacobsson SO, Wallin T, Fowler CJ. Inhibition of rat C6 glioma cell proliferation by endogenous and synthetic cannabinoids. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 2001;68:251–259. [PubMed]

Relative involvement of cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2001;299:951–959. [[PubMed](#)]

39. Sanchez C, Galve-Roperh I, Canova C, Brachet P, Guzman M. Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol induces apoptosis in C6 glioma cells. *FEBS Lett.* 1998;436:6–10. [[PubMed](#)]



40. Lorente M, Carracedo A, Torres S, et al. Amphiregulin is a factor for resistance of glioma cells to cannabinoid-induced apoptosis. *Glia.* 2009;57:1374–1385. [[PubMed](#)]

41. Parolaro D, Massi P. Cannabinoids as potential new therapy for the treatment of gliomas. *Expert Rev Neurother.* 2008;8:37–49. [[PubMed](#)]

## Formats:

- [Article](#)  
|
- [PubReader](#)  
|
- [ePub \(beta\)](#)  
|
- [PDF \(404K\)](#)  
|
- [Citation](#)

## Share

-  [Facebook](#)
-  [Twitter](#)
-  [Google+](#)

## Save items

[Add to Favorites](#)[View more options](#)

loading

1

## Similar articles in PubMed

- [The diverse CB1 and CB2 receptor pharmacology of three plant cannabinoids: delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol, cannabidiol and delta9-tetrahydrocannabivarin.](#) [*Br J Pharmacol.* 2008]
- [Antitumor activity of plant cannabinoids with emphasis on the effect of cannabidiol on human breast carcinoma.](#) [*J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2006]
- [The combination of cannabidiol and  \$\Delta\$ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol enhances the anticancer effects of radiation in an orthotopic murine glioma model.](#) [*Mol Cancer Ther.* 2014]
- [Non-THC cannabinoids inhibit prostate carcinoma growth in vitro and in vivo: pro-apoptotic effects and underlying mechanisms.](#) [*Br J Pharmacol.* 2013]
- [Are cannabidiol and  \$\Delta\$ \(9\)-tetrahydrocannabivarin negative modulators of the endocannabinoid system? A systematic review.](#) [*Br J Pharmacol.* 2015]

[See reviews...See all...](#)

## Cited by other articles in PMC

- [Modulation of Astrocyte Activity by Cannabidiol, a Nonpsychoactive Cannabinoid](#) [*International Journal of Molec...*]
- [Cannabidiol- \$\Delta\$ 9-tetrahydrocannabinol interactions on acute pain and locomotor activity](#) [*Drug and alcohol dependence.* 2...]
- [The influence of biomechanical properties and cannabinoids on tumor invasion](#)
- [Quantitative Analyses of Synergistic Responses between Cannabidiol and DNA-Damaging Agents on the Proliferation and Viability of Glioblastoma and Neural Progenitor Cells in Culture](#) [*The Journal of Pharmacology an...*]
- [Cannabinoid Receptors Are Overexpressed in CLL but of Limited Potential for Therapeutic Exploitation](#) [*PLoS ONE.* 2016]

[See all...](#)

## Links

- [Cited in Books](#)
- [Compound](#)
- [MedGen](#)
- [PubMed](#)
- [Substance](#)

- [Taxonomy](#)

## Recent Activity

Clear Turn Off Turn On

- [Cannabidiol enhances the inhibitory effects of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol on human ...](#)  
Cannabidiol enhances the inhibitory effects of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol on human glioblastoma cell proliferation and survival  
NIHPA Author Manuscripts. 2010 Jan; 9(1):180
- [Molecular Targets of Cannabidiol in Neurological Disorders](#)  
Molecular Targets of Cannabidiol in Neurological Disorders  
Neurotherapeutics. 2015 Oct; 12(4):699
- [The craniospinal venous system.](#)  
The craniospinal venous system.  
Eur Neurol. 2006;56(2):136-8. Epub 2006 Sep 8.  
PubMed
- [The anatomy of collateral venous flow from the brain and its value in aetiologic...](#)  
The anatomy of collateral venous flow from the brain and its value in aetiological interpretation of intracranial pathology.  
Neuroradiology. 1996 Oct;38(7):621-8.  
PubMed
- [\[The cervical vertebral venous plexus and anastomoses with the cranial venous si...](#)  
[The cervical vertebral venous plexus and anastomoses with the cranial venous sinuses].  
Bull Assoc Anat (Nancy). 1987 Mar;71(212):7-13.  
PubMed

Your browsing activity is empty.

Activity recording is turned off.

[Turn recording back on](#)

[See more...](#)

- [Cannabinoids selectively inhibit proliferation and induce death of cultured human glioblastoma multiforme cells.](#)[J Neurooncol. 2005]  
McAllister SD, Chan C, Taft RJ, Luu T, Abood ME, Moore DH, Aldape K, Yount G  
J Neurooncol. 2005 Aug; 74(1):31-40.
- [Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells.](#)[Mol Cancer Ther. 2007]  
McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY  
Mol Cancer Ther. 2007 Nov; 6(11):2921-7.
- [Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells.](#)[Mol Cancer Ther. 2007]  
McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY  
Mol Cancer Ther. 2007 Nov; 6(11):2921-7.
- [Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells.](#)[Mol Cancer Ther. 2007]  
McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY  
Mol Cancer Ther. 2007 Nov; 6(11):2921-7.
- [Review The statistics of synergism.](#)[J Mol Cell Cardiol. 1998]  
Slinker BK  
J Mol Cell Cardiol. 1998 Apr; 30(4):723-31.
- [Review Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computerized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies.](#)  
[Pharmacol Rev. 2006]  
Chou TC  
Pharmacol Rev. 2006 Sep; 58(3):621-81.
- [Quantitation of the synergistic interaction of edatrexate and cisplatin in vitro.](#)[Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 1993]  
Chou TC, Tan QH, Sirotnak FM  
Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 1993; 31(4):259-64.
- [Review Cannabinoids and gliomas.](#)[Mol Neurobiol. 2007]  
Velasco G, Carracedo A, Blázquez C, Lorente M, Aguado T, Haro A, Sánchez C, Galve-Roperh I, Guzmán M  
Mol Neurobiol. 2007 Aug; 36(1):60-7.
- [Antitumor effects of cannabidiol, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, on human glioma cell lines.](#) [J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2004]

- [Human glioma cells](#). [Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2004]
- Massi P, Vaccani A, Ceruti S, Colombo A, Abbraccio MP, Parolaro D  
J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2004 Mar; 308(3):838-45.
- [5-Lipoxygenase and anandamide hydrolase \(FAAH\) mediate the antitumor activity of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive cannabinoid.](#)[J Neurochem. 2008]  
Massi P, Valenti M, Vaccani A, Gasperi V, Perletti G, Marras E, Fezza F, Maccarrone M, Parolaro D  
J Neurochem. 2008 Feb; 104(4):1091-100.
  - [Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells.](#)[Mol Cancer Ther. 2007]  
McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY  
Mol Cancer Ther. 2007 Nov; 6(11):2921-7.
  - [A metabolic interaction in vivo between cannabidiol and 1 - tetrahydrocannabinol.](#)[Br J Pharmacol. 1972]  
Jones G, Pertwee RG  
Br J Pharmacol. 1972 Jun; 45(2):375-7.
  - [Potentiating effect of cannabidiol on delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced changes in hepatic enzymes.](#)[Biochem Pharmacol. 1974]  
Poddar MK, Bhattacharyya KC, Ghosh JJ  
Biochem Pharmacol. 1974 Feb 1; 23(3):758-9.
  - [Quantitation of the synergistic interaction of edatrexate and cisplatin in vitro.](#)[Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 1993]  
Chou TC, Tan QH, Sirotnak FM  
Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 1993; 31(4):259-64.
  - [Review Mechanisms of tumor cell invasion and angiogenesis in the central nervous system.](#)[Front Biosci. 2003]  
Visted T, Enger PO, Lund-Johansen M, Bjerkvig R  
Front Biosci. 2003 May 1; 8():e289-304.
  - [Review Signal transduction mediated by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway from cytokine receptors to transcription factors: potential targeting for therapeutic intervention.](#)[Leukemia. 2003]  
Chang F, Steelman LS, Lee JT, Shelton JG, Navolanic PM, Blalock WL, Franklin RA, McCubrey JA  
Leukemia. 2003 Jul; 17(7):1263-93.
  - [Cannabidiol-induced apoptosis in human leukemia cells: A novel role of cannabidiol in the regulation of p22phox and Nox4 expression.](#)[Mol Pharmacol. 2006]  
McKallip RJ, Jia W, Schlomer J, Warren JW, Nagarkatti PS, Nagarkatti M  
Mol Pharmacol. 2006 Sep; 70(3):897-908.
  - [Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation.](#)[Nat Med. 2000]  
Galve-Roperh I, Sánchez C, Cortés ML, Gómez del Pulgar T, Izquierdo M, Guzmán M  
Nat Med. 2000 Mar; 6(3):313-9.
  - [Involvement of the cAMP/protein kinase A pathway and of mitogen-activated protein kinase in the anti-proliferative effects of anandamide in human breast cancer cells.](#)[FEBS Lett. 1999]  
Melck D, Rueda D, Galve-Roperh I, De Petrocellis L, Guzmán M, Di Marzo V  
FEBS Lett. 1999 Dec 17; 463(3):235-40.
  - [Review Signal transduction mediated by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway from cytokine receptors to transcription factors: potential targeting for therapeutic intervention.](#)[Leukemia. 2003]  
Chang F, Steelman LS, Lee JT, Shelton JG, Navolanic PM, Blalock WL, Franklin RA, McCubrey JA  
Leukemia. 2003 Jul; 17(7):1263-93.
  - [The non-psychoactive cannabidiol triggers caspase activation and oxidative stress in human glioma cells.](#)[Cell Mol Life Sci. 2006]  
Massi P, Vaccani A, Bianchessi S, Costa B, Macchi P, Parolaro D  
Cell Mol Life Sci. 2006 Sep; 63(17):2057-66.
  - [Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation.](#)[Nat Med. 2000]  
Galve-Roperh I, Sánchez C, Cortés ML, Gómez del Pulgar T, Izquierdo M, Guzmán M  
Nat Med. 2000 Mar; 6(3):313-9.

[See more ...](#)

- [The stress-regulated protein p8 mediates cannabinoid-induced apoptosis of tumor cells.](#)[Cancer Cell. 2006]  
Carracedo A, Lorente M, Egia A, Blázquez C, García S, Giroux V, Malicet C, Villuendas R, Gironella M, González-Feria L, Piris MA, Iovanna JL, Guzmán M, Velasco G  
Cancer Cell. 2006 Apr; 9(4):301-12.
- [Cannabinoids induce apoptosis of pancreatic tumor cells via endoplasmic reticulum stress-related genes.](#)[Cancer Res. 2006]  
Carracedo A, Gironella M, Lorente M, Garcia S, Guzmán M, Velasco G, Iovanna JL  
Cancer Res. 2006 Jul 1; 66(13):6748-55.
- [Cannabidiol as a novel inhibitor of Id-1 gene expression in aggressive breast cancer cells.](#)[Mol Cancer Ther. 2007]  
McAllister SD, Christian RT, Horowitz MP, Garcia A, Desprez PY  
Mol Cancer Ther. 2007 Nov; 6(11):2921-7.
- [Antitumor activity of plant cannabinoids with emphasis on the effect of cannabidiol on human breast carcinoma.](#)[J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2006]  
Ligresti A, Moriello AS, Starowicz K, Matias I, Pisanti S, De Petrocellis L, Laezza C, Portella G, Bifulco M, Di Marzo V  
J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2006 Sep; 318(3):1375-87.
- [A pilot clinical study of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme.](#)[Br J Cancer. 2006]  
Guzmán M, Duarte MJ, Blázquez C, Ravina J, Rosa MC, Galve-Roperh I, Sánchez C, Velasco G, González-Feria L  
Br J Cancer. 2006 Jul 17; 95(2):197-203.

[See more ...](#)

- [The stress-regulated protein p8 mediates cannabinoid-induced apoptosis of tumor cells.](#)[Cancer Cell. 2006]  
Carracedo A, Lorente M, Egia A, Blázquez C, García S, Giroux V, Malicet C, Villuendas R, Gironella M, González-Feria L, Piris MA, Iovanna JL, Guzmán M, Velasco G  
Cancer Cell. 2006 Apr; 9(4):301-12.
- [Antitumor effects of cannabidiol, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, on human glioma cell lines.](#)[J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2004]  
Massi P, Vaccani A, Ceruti S, Colombo A, Abbracchio MP, Parolaro D  
J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2004 Mar; 308(3):838-45.
- [Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation.](#)[Nat Med. 2000]  
Galve-Roperh I, Sánchez C, Cortés ML, Gómez del Pulgar T, Izquierdo M, Guzmán M  
Nat Med. 2000 Mar; 6(3):313-9.
- [The stress-regulated protein p8 mediates cannabinoid-induced apoptosis of tumor cells.](#)[Cancer Cell. 2006]  
Carracedo A, Lorente M, Egia A, Blázquez C, García S, Giroux V, Malicet C, Villuendas R, Gironella M, González-Feria L, Piris MA, Iovanna JL, Guzmán M, Velasco G  
Cancer Cell. 2006 Apr; 9(4):301-12.
- [Inhibition of rat C6 glioma cell proliferation by endogenous and synthetic cannabinoids. Relative involvement of cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors.](#)[J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2001]  
Jacobsson SO, Wallin T, Fowler CJ  
J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2001 Dec; 299(3):951-9.

[See more ...](#)

- [Cannabidiol-induced apoptosis in human leukemia cells: A novel role of cannabidiol in the regulation of p22phox and Nox4 expression.](#)[Mol Pharmacol. 2006]  
McKallip RJ, Jia W, Schlomer J, Warren JW, Nagarkatti PS, Nagarkatti M  
Mol Pharmacol. 2006 Sep; 70(3):897-908.
- [The non-psychoactive cannabidiol triggers caspase activation and oxidative stress in human glioma cells.](#)[Cell Mol Life Sci. 2006]  
Massi P, Vaccani A, Bianchessi S, Costa B, Macchi P, Parolaro D  
Cell Mol Life Sci. 2006 Sep; 63(17):2057-66.
- [5-Lipoxygenase and anandamide hydrolase \(FAAH\) mediate the antitumor](#)

- [8-Epoxygenes and arachidonic hydrolase \(8-EH\), mediate the anandamide activity of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive cannabinoid.](#)[J Neurochem. 2008]
 

Massi P, Valenti M, Vaccani A, Gasperi V, Perletti G, Marras E, Fezza F, Maccarrone M, Parolaro D  
J Neurochem. 2008 Feb; 104(4):1091-100.
- [Amphiregulin is a factor for resistance of glioma cells to cannabinoid-induced apoptosis.](#)[Glia. 2009]
 

Lorente M, Carracedo A, Torres S, Natali F, Egia A, Hernández-Tiedra S, Salazar M, Blázquez C, Guzmán M, Velasco G  
Glia. 2009 Oct; 57(13):1374-85.
- [Down-regulation of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 in gliomas: a new marker of cannabinoid antitumoral activity?](#)[Neuropharmacology. 2008]
 

Blázquez C, Carracedo A, Salazar M, Lorente M, Egia A, González-Feria L, Haro A, Velasco G, Guzmán M  
Neuropharmacology. 2008 Jan; 54(1):235-43.
- [Review Cannabinoids and gliomas.](#)[Mol Neurobiol. 2007]
 

Velasco G, Carracedo A, Blázquez C, Lorente M, Aguado T, Haro A, Sánchez C, Galve-Roperh I, Guzmán M  
Mol Neurobiol. 2007 Aug; 36(1):60-7.
- [Review Cannabinoids as potential new therapy for the treatment of gliomas.](#)[Expert Rev Neurother. 2008]
 

Parolaro D, Massi P  
Expert Rev Neurother. 2008 Jan; 8(1):37-49.

[Support Center Support Center](#)

External link. Please review our [privacy policy](#).

[NLM](#)

[NIH](#)

[DHHS](#)

[USA.gov](#)

[National Center for Biotechnology Information, U.S. National Library of Medicine](#) 8600 Rockville Pike, Bethesda MD, 20894 USA

[Policies and Guidelines](#) | [Contact](#)

•









